



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/248,160	02/09/1999	RICHARD W. CHESTON	RP9-98-096	8471

7590 06/28/2002

GEORGE E GROSSER
FELSMAN, BRADLEY, VADEN, GUNTER & DILLON, LLP
SUITE 350, LAKEWOOD ON THE PARK
7600B NORTH CAPITAL OF TEXAS HIGHWAY
AUSTIN, TX 78731

EXAMINER

TESFAMARIAM, MUSSIE

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3622

DATE MAILED: 06/28/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/248,160

Applicant(s)

CHESTON ET AL.

Examiner

TESFAMARIAM

Group Art Unit

3622

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ERIC STAMBER (SPE)

(3)

(2) ANDREW DILLON (APPLICANT'S REP.)

(4)

Date of Interview 6/27/02

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 7, 12, 16 (INDEPENDENT)

Identification of prior art discussed:

CHRISTER BERNERUS ("SOFTWARE MANAGEMENT IN DFS")
HARDING (US 5,794,052)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

HARDING DISCUSSES THAT SELECTED SOFTWARE IS INSTALLED WHILE
NON-SELECTED IS DELETED.CHRISTER BERNERUS DISCUSSES A DISTRIBUTED FILE SYSTEM WHERE
SOFTWARE IS INSTALLED AT THE SERVER AND CLIENTS CAN ACCESS
IT.BOTH DIFFER FROM THE INSTANT CLAIMS THAT REQUIRE SOFTWARE AT THE PC WITH
SELECTED SOFTWARE HAVING ROYALTIES PAID ON IT AND UNSELECTED SOFTWARE
REMAINS RESIDENT ON THE PC (NO DELETION, NO ROYALTIES)

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Eric W. Stamber
ERIC W. STAMBER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.